

**CITY OF COSTA MESA
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT
WITH
HINDERLITER, DE LLAMAS & ASSOCIATES DBA HDL COMPANIES**

THIS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and entered into this 3rd day of August, 2021 ("Effective Date"), by and between the CITY OF COSTA MESA, a municipal corporation ("City"), and HINDERLITER, DE LLAMAS & ASSOCIATES, a California corporation DBA HDL COMPANIES ("Consultant").

WITNESSETH:

A. WHEREAS, City proposes to utilize the services of Consultant as an independent contractor to provide cannabis management services, as more fully described herein; and

B. WHEREAS, Consultant represents that it has that degree of specialized expertise contemplated within California Government Code section 37103, and holds all necessary licenses to practice and perform the services herein contemplated; and

C. WHEREAS, City and Consultant desire to contract for the specific services described in Exhibit "A" and desire to set forth their rights, duties and liabilities in connection with the services to be performed; and

D. WHEREAS, no official or employee of City has a financial interest, within the provisions of sections 1090-1092 of the California Government Code, in the subject matter of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions contained herein, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1.0. SERVICES PROVIDED BY CONSULTANT

1.1. Scope of Services. Consultant shall provide the professional services described in Consultant's Proposal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein.

1.2. Professional Practices. All professional services to be provided by Consultant pursuant to this Agreement shall be provided by personnel experienced in their respective fields and in a manner consistent with the standards of care, diligence and skill ordinarily exercised by professional consultants in similar fields and circumstances in accordance with sound professional practices. Consultant also warrants that it is familiar with all laws that may affect its performance of this Agreement and shall advise City of any changes in any laws that may affect Consultant's performance of this Agreement.

1.3. Performance to Satisfaction of City. Consultant agrees to perform all the work to the complete satisfaction of the City. Evaluations of the work will be done by the City Manager or his or her designee. If the quality of work is not satisfactory, City in its discretion has the right to:

- (a) Meet with Consultant to review the quality of the work and resolve the matters of concern;

- (b) Require Consultant to repeat the work at no additional fee until it is satisfactory; and/or
- (c) Terminate the Agreement as hereinafter set forth.

1.4. **Warranty.** Consultant warrants that it shall perform the services required by this Agreement in compliance with all applicable Federal and California employment laws, including, but not limited to, those laws related to minimum hours and wages; occupational health and safety; fair employment and employment practices; workers' compensation insurance and safety in employment; and all other laws and ordinances applicable to the services required under this Agreement. Consultant shall indemnify and hold harmless City from and against all claims, demands, payments, suits, actions, proceedings, and judgments of every nature and description including attorneys' fees and costs, presented, brought, or recovered against City for, or on account of any liability under any of the above-mentioned laws, which may be incurred by reason of Consultant's performance under this Agreement.

1.5. **Non-Discrimination.** In performing this Agreement, Consultant shall not engage in, nor permit its agents to engage in, discrimination in employment of persons because of their race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, sexual orientation, or military or veteran status, except as permitted pursuant to section 12940 of the Government Code.

1.6. **Non-Exclusive Agreement.** Consultant acknowledges that City may enter into agreements with other consultants for services similar to the services that are subject to this Agreement or may have its own employees perform services similar to those services contemplated by this Agreement.

1.7. **Delegation and Assignment.** This is a personal service contract, and the duties set forth herein shall not be delegated or assigned to any person or entity without the prior written consent of City. Consultant may engage a subcontractor(s) as permitted by law and may employ other personnel to perform services contemplated by this Agreement at Consultant's sole cost and expense.

1.8. **Confidentiality.** Employees of Consultant in the course of their duties may have access to financial, accounting, statistical, and personnel data of private individuals and employees of City. Consultant covenants that all data, documents, discussion, or other information developed or received by Consultant or provided for performance of this Agreement are deemed confidential and shall not be disclosed by Consultant without written authorization by City. City shall grant such authorization if disclosure is required by law. All City data shall be returned to City upon the termination of this Agreement. Consultant's covenant under this Section shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

2.0. COMPENSATION AND BILLING

2.1. **Compensation.** City shall pay Consultant in accordance with the fee schedule set forth in Exhibit A. Consultant's total compensation per annual financial audit shall not exceed Six Thousand One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$6,150.00). Consultant's total compensation per compliance review shall not exceed One Thousand Two Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$1,275.00).

2.2. Additional Services. Consultant shall not receive compensation for any services provided outside the scope of services specified in the Consultant's Proposal unless the City Manager or designee, prior to Consultant performing the additional services, approves such additional services in writing. It is specifically understood that oral requests and/or approvals of such additional services or additional compensation shall be barred and are unenforceable.

2.3. Method of Billing. Consultant may submit invoices to the City for approval on a progress basis, but no more often than two times a month. Said invoice shall be based on the total of all Consultant's services which have been completed to City's sole satisfaction. City shall pay Consultant's invoice within forty-five (45) days from the date City receives said invoice. Each invoice shall describe in detail, the services performed, the date of performance, and the associated time for completion. Any additional services approved and performed pursuant to this Agreement shall be designated as "Additional Services" and shall identify the number of the authorized change order, where applicable, on all invoices.

2.4. Records and Audits. Records of Consultant's services relating to this Agreement shall be maintained in accordance with generally recognized accounting principles and shall be made available to City or its Project Manager for inspection and/or audit at mutually convenient times from the Effective Date until three (3) years after termination of this Agreement.

3.0. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

3.1. Commencement and Completion of Work. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the parties, the professional services to be performed pursuant to this Agreement shall commence within five (5) days from the Effective Date of this Agreement. Failure to commence work in a timely manner and/or diligently pursue work to completion may be grounds for termination of this Agreement.

3.2. Excusable Delays. Neither party shall be responsible for delays or lack of performance resulting from acts beyond the reasonable control of the party or parties. Such acts shall include, but not be limited to, acts of God, fire, strikes, pandemics, material shortages, compliance with laws or regulations, riots, acts of war, or any other conditions beyond the reasonable control of a party (each, a "Force Majeure Event"). If a party experiences a Force Majeure Event, the party shall, within five (5) days of the occurrence of the Force Majeure Event, give written notice to the other party stating the nature of the Force Majeure Event, its anticipated duration and any action being taken to avoid or minimize its effect. Any suspension of performance shall be of no greater scope and of no longer duration than is reasonably required and the party experiencing the Force Majeure Event shall use best efforts without being obligated to incur any material expenditure to remedy its inability to perform; provided, however, if the suspension of performance continues for sixty (60) days after the date of the occurrence and such failure to perform would constitute a material breach of this Agreement in the absence of such Force Majeure Event, the parties shall meet and discuss in good faith any amendments to this Agreement to permit the other party to exercise its rights under this Agreement. If the parties are not able to agree on such amendments within thirty (30) days and if suspension of performance continues, such other party may terminate this Agreement immediately by written notice to the party experiencing the Force Majeure Event, in which case neither party shall have any liability to the other except for those rights and liabilities that accrued prior to the date of termination.

4.0. TERM AND TERMINATION

4.1. Term. This Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date and continue for a

period of one (1) year, ending on August 2, 2022, unless previously terminated as provided herein or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the parties. This Agreement may be extended by four (4) additional one (1) year periods upon mutual written agreement of both parties.

4.2. Notice of Termination. The City reserves and has the right and privilege of canceling, suspending or abandoning the execution of all or any part of the work contemplated by this Agreement, with or without cause, at any time, by providing written notice to Consultant. The termination of this Agreement shall be deemed effective upon receipt of the notice of termination. In the event of such termination, Consultant shall immediately stop rendering services under this Agreement unless directed otherwise by the City.

4.3. Compensation. In the event of termination, City shall pay Consultant for reasonable costs incurred and professional services satisfactorily performed up to and including the date of City's written notice of termination. Compensation for work in progress shall be prorated based on the percentage of work completed as of the effective date of termination in accordance with the fees set forth herein. In ascertaining the professional services actually rendered hereunder up to the effective date of termination of this Agreement, consideration shall be given to both completed work and work in progress, to complete and incomplete drawings, and to other documents pertaining to the services contemplated herein whether delivered to the City or in the possession of the Consultant.

4.4. Documents. In the event of termination of this Agreement, all documents prepared by Consultant in its performance of this Agreement including, but not limited to, finished or unfinished design, development and construction documents, data studies, drawings, maps and reports, shall be delivered to the City within ten (10) days of delivery of termination notice to Consultant, at no cost to City. Any use of uncompleted documents without specific written authorization from Consultant shall be at City's sole risk and without liability or legal expense to Consultant.

5.0. INSURANCE

5.1. Minimum Scope and Limits of Insurance. Consultant shall obtain, maintain, and keep in full force and effect during the life of this Agreement all of the following minimum scope of insurance coverages with an insurance company admitted to do business in California, rated "A," Class X, or better in the most recent Best's Key Insurance Rating Guide, and approved by City:

- (a) Commercial general liability, including premises-operations, products/completed operations, broad form property damage, blanket contractual liability, independent contractors, personal injury or bodily injury with a policy limit of not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00), combined single limits, per occurrence. If such insurance contains a general aggregate limit, it shall apply separately to this Agreement or shall be twice the required occurrence limit.
- (b) Business automobile liability for owned vehicles, hired, and non-owned vehicles, with a policy limit of not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00), combined single limits, per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage.
- (c) Workers' compensation insurance as required by the State of California.

Consultant agrees to waive, and to obtain endorsements from its workers' compensation insurer waiving subrogation rights under its workers' compensation insurance policy against the City, its officers, agents, employees, and volunteers arising from work performed by Consultant for the City and to require each of its subcontractors, if any, to do likewise under their workers' compensation insurance policies.

- (d) Professional errors and omissions ("E&O") liability insurance with policy limits of not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00), combined single limits, per occurrence and aggregate. Architects' and engineers' coverage shall be endorsed to include contractual liability. If the policy is written as a "claims made" policy, the retro date shall be prior to the start of the contract work. Consultant shall obtain and maintain, said E&O liability insurance during the life of this Agreement and for three years after completion of the work hereunder.

5.2. Endorsements. The commercial general liability insurance policy and business automobile liability policy shall contain or be endorsed to contain the following provisions:

- (a) Additional insureds: "The City of Costa Mesa and its elected and appointed boards, officers, officials, agents, employees, and volunteers are additional insureds with respect to: liability arising out of activities performed by or on behalf of the Consultant pursuant to its contract with the City; products and completed operations of the Consultant; premises owned, occupied or used by the Consultant; automobiles owned, leased, hired, or borrowed by the Consultant."
- (b) Notice: "Said policy shall not terminate, be suspended, or voided, nor shall it be cancelled, nor the coverage or limits reduced, until thirty (30) days after written notice is given to City."
- (c) Other insurance: "The Consultant's insurance coverage shall be primary insurance as respects the City of Costa Mesa, its officers, officials, agents, employees, and volunteers. Any other insurance maintained by the City of Costa Mesa shall be excess and not contributing with the insurance provided by this policy."
- (d) Any failure to comply with the reporting provisions of the policies shall not affect coverage provided to the City of Costa Mesa, its officers, officials, agents, employees, and volunteers.
- (e) The Consultant's insurance shall apply separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought, except with respect to the limits of the insurer's liability.

5.3. Deductible or Self Insured Retention. If any of such policies provide for a deductible or self-insured retention to provide such coverage, the amount of such deductible or self-insured retention shall be approved in advance by City. No policy of insurance issued as to which the City is an additional insured shall contain a provision which requires that no insured except the named insured can satisfy any such deductible or self-insured retention.

5.4. Certificates of Insurance. Consultant shall provide to City certificates of insurance showing the insurance coverages and required endorsements described above, in a form and content approved by City, prior to performing any services under this Agreement.

5.5. Non-Limiting. Nothing in this Section shall be construed as limiting in any way, the indemnification provision contained in this Agreement, or the extent to which Consultant may be held responsible for payments of damages to persons or property.

6.0. GENERAL PROVISIONS

6.1. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to any matter referenced herein and supersedes any and all other prior writings and oral negotiations. This Agreement may be modified only in writing, and signed by the parties in interest at the time of such modification. The terms of this Agreement shall prevail over any inconsistent provision in any other contract document appurtenant hereto, including exhibits to this Agreement.

6.2. Representatives. The City Manager or his or her designee shall be the representative of City for purposes of this Agreement and may issue all consents, approvals, directives and agreements on behalf of the City, called for by this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement.

Consultant shall designate a representative for purposes of this Agreement who shall be authorized to issue all consents, approvals, directives and agreements on behalf of Consultant called for by this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement.

6.3. Project Managers. City shall designate a Project Manager to work directly with Consultant in the performance of this Agreement.

Consultant shall designate a Project Manager who shall represent it and be its agent in all consultations with City during the term of this Agreement. Consultant or its Project Manager shall attend and assist in all coordination meetings called by City.

6.4. Notices. Any notices, documents, correspondence or other communications concerning this Agreement or the work hereunder may be provided by personal delivery or mail and shall be addressed as set forth below. Such communication shall be deemed served or delivered: (a) at the time of delivery if such communication is sent by personal delivery, and (b) 48 hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail as reflected by the official U.S. postmark if such communication is sent through regular United States mail.

IF TO CONSULTANT:

HdL Companies
120 S. State College Blvd. Suite 200
Brea, CA 92821
Tel: (714) 897-5000
Attn: David McPherson

IF TO CITY:

City of Costa Mesa
77 Fair Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Tel: (714) 754-5062
Attn: Cathleen Serrano

Courtesy copy to:

City of Costa Mesa
77 Fair Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Attn: Finance Dept. | Purchasing

6.5. Drug-Free Workplace Policy. Consultant shall provide a drug-free workplace by complying with all provisions set forth in City's Council Policy 100-5, attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference. Consultant's failure to conform to the requirements set forth in Council Policy 100-5 shall constitute a material breach of this Agreement and shall be cause for immediate termination of this Agreement by City.

6.6. Attorneys' Fees. In the event that litigation is brought by any party in connection with this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover from the opposing party all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred by the prevailing party in the exercise of any of its rights or remedies hereunder or the enforcement of any of the terms, conditions, or provisions hereof.

6.7. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of California without giving effect to that body of laws pertaining to conflict of laws. In the event of any legal action to enforce or interpret this Agreement, the parties hereto agree that the sole and exclusive venue shall be a court of competent jurisdiction located in Orange County, California.

6.8. Assignment. Consultant shall not voluntarily or by operation of law assign, transfer, sublet or encumber all or any part of Consultant's interest in this Agreement without City's prior written consent. Any attempted assignment, transfer, subletting or encumbrance shall be void and shall constitute a breach of this Agreement and cause for termination of this Agreement. Regardless of City's consent, no subletting or assignment shall release Consultant of Consultant's obligation to perform all other obligations to be performed by Consultant hereunder for the term of this Agreement.

6.9. Indemnification and Hold Harmless. Consultant agrees to defend, indemnify, hold free and harmless the City, its elected officials, officers, agents and employees, at Consultant's sole expense, from and against any and all claims, actions, suits or other legal proceedings brought against the City, its elected officials, officers, agents and employees arising out of the performance of the Consultant, its employees, and/or authorized subcontractors, of the work undertaken pursuant to this Agreement. The defense obligation provided for hereunder shall apply without any advance showing of negligence or wrongdoing by the Consultant, its employees, and/or authorized subcontractors, but shall be required whenever any claim, action, complaint, or suit asserts as its basis the negligence, errors, omissions or misconduct of the Consultant, its employees, and/or authorized subcontractors, and/or whenever any claim, action, complaint or suit asserts liability against the City, its elected officials, officers, agents and employees based upon the work performed by the Consultant, its employees, and/or authorized subcontractors under this Agreement, whether or not the Consultant, its employees, and/or authorized subcontractors are specifically named or otherwise asserted to be liable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Consultant shall not be liable for the defense or indemnification of the City for claims, actions, complaints or suits arising out of the sole active negligence or willful misconduct of the City. This provision shall supersede and replace all other indemnity provisions

contained either in the City's specifications or Consultant's Proposal, which shall be of no force and effect.

6.10. Independent Contractor. Consultant is and shall be acting at all times as an independent contractor and not as an employee of City. Consultant shall have no power to incur any debt, obligation, or liability on behalf of City or otherwise act on behalf of City as an agent. Neither City nor any of its agents shall have control over the conduct of Consultant or any of Consultant's employees, except as set forth in this Agreement. Consultant shall not, at any time, or in any manner, represent that it or any of its agents or employees are in any manner agents or employees of City. Consultant shall secure, at its sole expense, and be responsible for any and all payment of Income Tax, Social Security, State Disability Insurance Compensation, Unemployment Compensation, and other payroll deductions for Consultant and its officers, agents, and employees, and all business licenses, if any are required, in connection with the services to be performed hereunder. Consultant shall indemnify and hold City harmless from any and all taxes, assessments, penalties, and interest asserted against City by reason of the independent contractor relationship created by this Agreement. Consultant further agrees to indemnify and hold City harmless from any failure of Consultant to comply with the applicable worker's compensation laws. City shall have the right to offset against the amount of any fees due to Consultant under this Agreement any amount due to City from Consultant as a result of Consultant's failure to promptly pay to City any reimbursement or indemnification arising under this paragraph.

6.11. PERS Eligibility Indemnification. In the event that Consultant or any employee, agent, or subcontractor of Consultant providing services under this Agreement claims or is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction or the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) to be eligible for enrollment in PERS as an employee of the City, Consultant shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless City for the payment of any employee and/or employer contributions for PERS benefits on behalf of Consultant or its employees, agents, or subcontractors, as well as for the payment of any penalties and interest on such contributions, which would otherwise be the responsibility of City.

Notwithstanding any other agency, state or federal policy, rule, regulation, law or ordinance to the contrary, Consultant and any of its employees, agents, and subcontractors providing service under this Agreement shall not qualify for or become entitled to, and hereby agree to waive any claims to, any compensation, benefit, or any incident of employment by City, including but not limited to eligibility to enroll in PERS as an employee of City and entitlement to any contribution to be paid by City for employer contribution and/or employee contributions for PERS benefits.

6.12. Cooperation. In the event any claim or action is brought against City relating to Consultant's performance or services rendered under this Agreement, Consultant shall render any reasonable assistance and cooperation which City might require.

6.13. Ownership of Documents. All findings, reports, documents, information and data including, but not limited to, computer tapes or discs, files and tapes furnished or prepared by Consultant or any of its subcontractors in the course of performance of this Agreement, shall be and remain the sole property of City. Consultant agrees that any such documents or information shall not be made available to any individual or organization without the prior consent of City. Any use of such documents for other projects not contemplated by this Agreement, and any use of incomplete documents, shall be at the sole risk of City and without liability or legal exposure to Consultant. City shall indemnify and hold harmless Consultant from all claims, damages, losses,

and expenses, including attorneys' fees, arising out of or resulting from City's use of such documents for other projects not contemplated by this Agreement or use of incomplete documents furnished by Consultant. Consultant shall deliver to City any findings, reports, documents, information, data, in any form, including but not limited to, computer tapes, discs, files audio tapes or any other Project related items as requested by City or its authorized representative, at no additional cost to the City.

6.14. Public Records Act Disclosure. Consultant has been advised and is aware that this Agreement and all reports, documents, information and data, including, but not limited to, computer tapes, discs or files furnished or prepared by Consultant, or any of its subcontractors, pursuant to this Agreement and provided to City may be subject to public disclosure as required by the California Public Records Act (California Government Code section 6250 *et seq.*). Exceptions to public disclosure may be those documents or information that qualify as trade secrets, as that term is defined in the California Government Code section 6254.7, and of which Consultant informs City of such trade secret. The City will endeavor to maintain as confidential all information obtained by it that is designated as a trade secret. The City shall not, in any way, be liable or responsible for the disclosure of any trade secret including, without limitation, those records so marked if disclosure is deemed to be required by law or by order of the Court.

6.15. Conflict of Interest. Consultant and its officers, employees, associates and subconsultants, if any, will comply with all conflict of interest statutes of the State of California applicable to Consultant's services under this agreement, including, but not limited to, the Political Reform Act (Government Code sections 81000, *et seq.*) and Government Code section 1090. During the term of this Agreement, Consultant and its officers, employees, associates and subconsultants shall not, without the prior written approval of the City Representative, perform work for another person or entity for whom Consultant is not currently performing work that would require Consultant or one of its officers, employees, associates or subconsultants to abstain from a decision under this Agreement pursuant to a conflict of interest statute.

6.16. Responsibility for Errors. Consultant shall be responsible for its work and results under this Agreement. Consultant, when requested, shall furnish clarification and/or explanation as may be required by the City's representative, regarding any services rendered under this Agreement at no additional cost to City. In the event that an error or omission attributable to Consultant occurs, then Consultant shall, at no cost to City, provide all necessary design drawings, estimates and other Consultant professional services necessary to rectify and correct the matter to the sole satisfaction of City and to participate in any meeting required with regard to the correction.

6.17. Prohibited Employment. Consultant will not employ any regular employee of City while this Agreement is in effect.

6.18. Order of Precedence. In the event of an inconsistency in this Agreement and any of the attached Exhibits, the terms set forth in this Agreement shall prevail. If, and to the extent this Agreement incorporates by reference any provision of any document, such provision shall be deemed a part of this Agreement. Nevertheless, if there is any conflict among the terms and conditions of this Agreement and those of any such provision or provisions so incorporated by reference, this Agreement shall govern over the document referenced.

6.19. Costs. Each party shall bear its own costs and fees incurred in the preparation and negotiation of this Agreement and in the performance of its obligations hereunder except as expressly provided herein.

6.20. **Binding Effect.** This Agreement binds and benefits the parties and their respective permitted successors and assigns.

6.21. **No Third Party Beneficiary Rights.** This Agreement is entered into for the sole benefit of City and Consultant and no other parties are intended to be direct or incidental beneficiaries of this Agreement and no third party shall have any right in, under or to this Agreement.

6.22. **Headings.** Paragraphs and subparagraph headings contained in this Agreement are included solely for convenience and are not intended to modify, explain or to be a full or accurate description of the content thereof and shall not in any way affect the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

6.23. **Construction.** The parties have participated jointly in the negotiation and drafting of this Agreement and have had an adequate opportunity to review each and every provision of the Agreement and submit the same to counsel or other consultants for review and comment. In the event an ambiguity or question of intent or interpretation arises with respect to this Agreement, this Agreement shall be construed as if drafted jointly by the parties and in accordance with its fair meaning. There shall be no presumption or burden of proof favoring or disfavoring any party by virtue of the authorship of any of the provisions of this Agreement.

6.24. **Amendments.** Only a writing executed by the parties hereto or their respective successors and assigns may amend this Agreement.

6.25. **Waiver.** The delay or failure of either party at any time to require performance or compliance by the other of any of its obligations or agreements shall in no way be deemed a waiver of those rights to require such performance or compliance. No waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall be effective unless in writing and signed by a duly authorized representative of the party against whom enforcement of a waiver is sought. The waiver of any right or remedy in respect to any occurrence or event shall not be deemed a waiver of any right or remedy in respect to any other occurrence or event, nor shall any waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

6.26. **Severability.** If any provision of this Agreement is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable in any circumstance, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining terms and provisions hereof or of the offending provision in any other circumstance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the value of this Agreement, based upon the substantial benefit of the bargain for any party, is materially impaired, which determination made by the presiding court or arbitrator of competent jurisdiction shall be binding, then both parties agree to substitute such provision(s) through good faith negotiations.

6.27. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one agreement.

6.28. **Corporate Authority.** The persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the parties hereto warrant that they are duly authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of said parties and that by doing so the parties hereto are formally bound to the provisions of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by and through their respective authorized officers, as of the date first above written.

CONSULTANT


Signature

Date: 12/7/21

Andrew Nickerson, President

[Name and Title]

CITY OF COSTA MESA



Date: 12/22/2021


Lori Ann Farrell Harrison
City Manager

ATTEST:

 11/3/2022
Brenda Green
City Clerk




APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Kimberly Hall Barlow
City Attorney


Date: 12/21/21

APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE:


Ruth Wang
Risk Management

Date: 12/20/21

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:



Cathleen Serrano
Project Manager

Date: 12/17/2021

DEPARTMENTAL APPROVAL:



Carol Molina
Finance Director

Date: December 17, 2021

APPROVED AS TO PURCHASING:



Carol Molina
Finance Director

Date: December 17, 2021

EXHIBIT A
CONSULTANT'S PROPOSAL



City of Costa Mesa

Cannabis Management Services

June 10, 2021

HdL[®] Companies

SUBMITTED BY

HdL Companies
120 S. State College Blvd., Ste 200
Brea, CA 92821
hdlcompanies.com

CONTACT

David McPherson
T: 714.879.5000
E: dmcpherson@hdlcompanies.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	2
II.	PROPOSED SCOPE OF SERVICES	3
III.	COST	5
IV.	OPTIONAL SERVICES.....	6
V.	EXPERIENCE AND RESOURCES	7
VI.	REFERENCES	12

I. LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

June 10, 2021

Carol Molina
Finance Director
City of Costa Mesa
77 Fair Drive
Costa Mesa, Calif. 92626

Re: Proposal for Cannabis Management Services

Dear Ms. Molina,

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this proposal for cannabis management services for the City of Costa Mesa. The enclosed scope of services provides for HdL to conduct annual revenue audits of all licensed cannabis businesses to ensure they are reporting and remitting the proper amount of revenue to the City.

HdL was incorporated in 1983 and has over 30 years of experience providing revenue enhancement and consulting services to local governments in California. HdL is a consortium of three companies established to maximize local government revenues by providing audit, compliance, economic development, consulting services and software products. Its audit and consulting services include sales, use and transaction taxes, property taxes, transient occupancy taxes, and a Cannabis Management Program. HdL's systematic and coordinated approach to revenue management and economic data analysis is currently being utilized by over 500 agencies in six states. The firm currently serves 49 counties, 311 cities and 132 transactions tax districts in California.

Our knowledgeable team of professionals have more than 46 years' combined experience in the establishment and implementation of cannabis regulatory programs including establishing land-use regulations, registration processes, operation regulations for cannabis facilities, staffing plans, cost recovery, structuring cannabis business taxes and conducting compliance and financial audits.

We look forward to the opportunity to partner with the City of Costa Mesa in developing a strategy which meets your program needs. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me by email at anickerson@hdlcompanies.com or David McPherson at dmcpherson@hdlcompanies.com or by phone at 714.879.5000.

Sincerely,



Andy Nickerson
President, HdL Companies

II. PROPOSED SCOPE OF SERVICES

The City of Costa Mesa currently allows for commercial cannabis manufacturers, distributors, processors and testing laboratories. The City has so far issued 25 Conditional Use Permits for cannabis manufacturers and distributors, of which 9 are currently in operation.

The City's voters recently passed Measure Q, which was an advisory measure to allow for both storefront and non-storefront (delivery) cannabis retailers. The measure also authorized the City to impose a tax on cannabis retailers of between 4% and 7%.

To assist with continued implementation of its cannabis program the City has requested a suite of services from HdL, including assistance with drafting regulations and application procedures for cannabis retailers, reviewing and evaluating cannabis retailer applications, development of cost recovery fees, and providing background checks and pre-license inspections. These services are all described in a separate proposal dated April 28th.

In addition to these services, the City is now requesting that HdL provide a separate proposal for conducting annual revenue audits of licensed cannabis businesses to ensure that they are reporting and remitting the proper amount of revenue to the City pursuant to Measure Q. This service objective is described in greater detail below.

Objective 1: Cannabis Revenue Audits

HdL will conduct an annual revenue audit of each cannabis business to verify the accuracy of the revenue reported and remitted to the City during the review period and will recommend a tax assessment should the audit reveal any unreported revenue. As part of the audit process, HdL will conduct a risk-based review of each business using our proprietary Cannabis Analytical Testing System (CATS™). CATS was designed by HdL to address the unique challenges associated with auditing the cannabis industry. CATS allows audit staff to cross-analyze multiple business records to identify reporting variances, discrepancies and outliers to produce the most accurate measure of gross receipts on behalf of the City.

To initiate the process, the City shall provide HdL with a list of all licensed cannabis businesses subject to audit. HdL shall work with the City to determine the appropriate review period for each business and to develop a schedule for conducting all audits. HdL will prepare a notification letter informing the licensee of the impending audit and providing a list of all records and documentation the business is required to provide, including remote access to the business's point-of-sale (POS) system where applicable. HdL recommends that the notification letter be sent by the City to communicate HdL's authority to conduct the audit and to encourage cooperation by the business.

The full annual audit shall include:

- Review Point-of-Sale (POS) system structure
- Review inventory system (subject to METRC data)
- Analyze and compare POS data with other available data sources, including:
 - City cannabis tax returns
 - State tax returns

-
- Federal tax returns
 - METRC sales and inventory data
 - CDTFA data
 - Bank statements
 - ATM merchant statements
 - Sales receipts
 - Other financial documents as available
- Identify any variances or over/under reporting
 - Calculate any taxes or fees due to the City
 - Prepare and issue report

Where cannabis cultivation is taxed on a square-footage basis, the audit shall include one annual site inspection to verify compliance with maximum permitted canopy area. Square footage audits may also consider documented findings from inspections by the City, CDFA or other agencies, where available.

A business that holds multiple state cannabis licenses shall be considered a single business for audit purposes, provided that all licenses are held and operated under the same name, ownership, location, and a single tax ID number. Any variation may indicate separate business entities requiring separate audits. Any such determination shall be made on a case-by-case basis in consultation with the City.

HdL will provide a draft audit report to the commercial cannabis business. The business will be given an appropriate opportunity to respond or appeal the report in accordance with the City ordinance. HdL will review any documentation provided by the business to dispute the findings and will adjust the tax/fee assessment as necessary prior to issuing the final report to the City.

The cost for this service assumes a standard 12-month review period. This may be increased up to a maximum of 24 months, in accordance with the statute of limitations for tax audits. This cost also assumes reasonable cooperation from the licensee. Non-cooperation by the licensee may result in additional charges at HdL's hourly rate, or in termination of the audit and potential enforcement action by the City. Any such action or additional charges would be determined in consultation with the City.

The cost does not include assistance with administrative appeals or enforcement of audit findings, cannabis tax policy questions or guidance, or other services not directly associated with preparing the revenue audit report. Any costs associated with such additional services would be billed at HdL's hourly rate.

III. COST

HdL's fees are based on time, materials and travel-related expenses associated with the execution of the services. The hours and costs in the table below do not include any additional items that are not contemplated by this scope of services. Any additional services requested by the City will be billed at HdL's hourly rate.

Prices offered here are valid for 90 days from the date of this proposal to allow time for consideration and negotiating a service agreement. Once under contract, prices shall be honored for the first full year, with successive years subject to an annual increase not to exceed 3% based upon the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim region.

Scope of Service Objectives	Estimated Cost
Objective 1: Cannabis Revenue Audits	\$6,150
Annual Cost Per Business	\$6,150
Estimated First-Year Cost Assumes 9 businesses subject to audit in the first year	\$55,350
All costs may be recoverable through annual permit renewal fees	

Conflicts of Interest and Non-Disclosure

HdL Companies works solely with public agencies and has no private-sector clients in the cannabis industry. All cannabis business information will be kept confidential by HdL and will not be shared internally beyond those HdL employees who are required to have access for purposes of conducting the work contemplated herein, or for administrative purposes as necessary.

IV. OPTIONAL SERVICES

Regulatory Compliance Reviews and Financial Audits

HdL's Cannabis Management Team has over 46 years combined experience conducting approximately 16,000 cannabis compliance inspections, financial audits and investigations in California, Colorado and Nevada. HdL can provide annual compliance reviews and financial audits at the following rates for each cannabis business permitted in the County:

Combined cost per business¹:

- A. Conduct one (1) financial audit annually for each permit at a rate of \$6,150.
- B. Conduct one (1) compliance review annually for each permit at a rate of \$1,275.
- C. Conduct one (1) financial audit and one (1) compliance review annually for each permit at a rate of \$7,425.
- D. Conduct one (1) financial audit and two (2) compliance reviews annually for each permit at a rate of \$8,700.
- E. Conduct one (1) financial audit and three (3) compliance reviews annually for each permit at a rate of \$9,975.
- F. Conduct one (1) financial audit and four (4) compliance reviews annually for each permit at a rate of \$11,250.

Hourly Rates for HdL Staff

The prices in this proposal are based on the hourly rates for HdL staff as shown in the chart below. Any additional services requested by the client that are not specifically described in this proposal would be billed at the standard rate for the assigned staff person.

HdL Staff	Title	Hourly Rate
David McPherson	Compliance Director	\$250
Matt Eaton	Deputy Director of Compliance	\$250
Ajay Kolluri	Deputy Director of Audits	\$250
Mark Lovelace	Senior Policy Advisor	\$250
Kami Miller	Senior Compliance Inspector	\$195
Michelle Shaw	Compliance Inspector	\$195
Elizabeth Eumurian	Senior Auditor	\$195
Alfredo Marquez	Senior Auditor	\$195
Odette Mikhail	Auditor	\$195
Tau Lu	Auditor	\$195
Mark Brogan	Auditor	\$195
All rates current as of the date of this proposal		

¹ Prices reflect an annual increase based upon the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim region.

IV. EXPERIENCE AND RESOURCES

Company Profile

Founded in 1983, HdL is a consortium of three companies established to maximize local government revenues by providing audit, compliance, economic development, consulting services and software products. Its audit and consulting services include sales, use and transaction taxes, property taxes, transient occupancy taxes, and a Cannabis Management Program. The firm also provides a variety of enterprise software processing tools for business licensing, code enforcement, animal control, building permits and tracking/billing of false alarms. HdL's systematic and coordinated approach to revenue management and economic data analysis is currently being utilized by over 500 agencies in six states. The firm currently serves 49 counties, 311 cities and 132 transactions tax districts in California.

HdL's key staff has extensive experience serving local government and many have previously held positions in city management, finance, planning, economic development or revenue collection. HdL is a Corporate Partner of the League of California Cities and California State Association of Counties and works extensively with the County Auditor's Association of California, California Society of Municipal Finance Officers (CSMFO) and California Municipal Revenue and Tax Association (CMRTA) on anticipation and planning of programs to strengthen local government revenues.

This close understanding of local government needs coupled with extensive databases and advanced methodology provides for the most relevant, productive and responsive revenue recovery; forecasting; and economic services available.

Our team of professionals has over 52 years of direct experience establishing and implementing cannabis regulatory and taxation programs, including establishing land-use regulations, permit processes, staffing plans, and cost recovery fees; structuring cannabis business tax fees; regulatory compliance; financial audits; and law enforcement training. Our team has conducted over 18,000 cannabis compliance inspections and investigations in California, Colorado and Nevada.

Key Personnel

David McPherson, Compliance Director

David McPherson works with local agencies to prepare them to mitigate regulatory issues surrounding Proposition 64 and SB 94. Prior to joining HdL, David served 28 years in local government for the County of Orange and the cities of Newport Beach, San Jose and Oakland. David's experience as a law enforcement officer, compliance auditor, and tax administrator has provided him a wealth of experience that makes him uniquely qualified to manage HdL's Cannabis Management Program. While working for the City of Oakland, he became the first Tax Administrator in the country to successfully tax, regulate and audit medical marijuana businesses. David has over 10 years of experience working with cannabis regulatory programs.

David is one of the state's most recognized experts in cannabis regulatory policies, compliance implementation and tax policies. His unique knowledge in horticulture, processing and dispensary operations while working for the City of Oakland has made him one of the pioneers in creating a Cannabis Management Program. He uses his experience to assist local and state agencies in developing cannabis policies for regulation, compliance, auditing and economic development. He worked closely with the League of Cities on the development of the Medical Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MCRSA) and helped shape SB 94, the Medicinal Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MAUCRSA).

David provides technical support on cannabis-related matters to the League of Cities, the Police Chief's Association, Rural County Representatives of California and the California State Association of Counties. In addition, David is working collaboratively with the Department of Consumers Affairs, Department of Food & Agriculture, Department of Health Services and the State Board of Equalization on the implementation of best practices for regulating the cannabis industry for local agencies.

David received his Bachelor's Degree in History from California State University, Fullerton and his Master's Degree in Public Administration from California State University, Long Beach. While at Long Beach, he was named "Future Urban Administrator of the Year".

Matt Eaton, Deputy Compliance Director

Matt Eaton is the Deputy Compliance Director at HdL and plays a critical role in implementing the Cannabis Compliance Program for local agencies. Prior to joining the firm, he was a progressive law enforcement professional with 30 years' experience conducting criminal/regulatory investigations, and corporate/individual background investigations.

While working as a Supervisory Investigator at the Colorado Department of Revenue in the Marijuana Enforcement Division (MED), Matt managed criminal investigators and civilian staff in the Denver Metro and Longmont field offices. During his six-year tenure at the MED, he conducted approximately 10,000 criminal investigations and compliance reviews, including regulatory and financial investigations. He is a subject matter expert on track and trace systems and understands the complexity of reviewing data to ensure businesses are in compliance with state and local regulations. Matt was responsible for planning, developing and implementing report and field inspection protocols for the agency. He also played an instrumental role in recommending changes to current regulations and identifying essential language for new legislation in Colorado. Matt is well known for his ability to maintain working relationships with cannabis industry leaders and external stakeholders in resolving issues.

Matt received his Bachelor of Science Degree from Biola University and maintained Police Officer Service Training (POST) certification for over 30 years in California and Colorado. He has also served as an adjunct instructor teaching law enforcement principle related to criminology, correctional processes, procedural law, interviews, interrogations and criminal evidence at AIMS Community College in Greeley, Colorado.

Ajay Kolluri, Deputy Director of Policy and Audits

Ajay Kolluri is the Deputy Director of Audits and Operations for HdL's Cannabis Division. Ajay is responsible for overseeing the cannabis audit team and the daily operations of the division, which includes special projects such as community outreach, surveys, grant solicitation, revenue analysis, cost recovery fee studies, contracts, budgeting, and marketing. Ajay previously served as Program Manager for the Office of Cannabis Oversight (OCO) at City of Long Beach. Working within the City Manager's Office, Ajay was responsible for the licensing, regulation and enforcement of all commercial cannabis activity in the City, with one of the largest legal cannabis markets in the state. During his tenure with the OCO, Ajay oversaw the issuance over 200 cannabis business licenses, generating over \$10 million in annual revenue for the City. Ajay has experience in all aspects of cannabis oversight, including public health and education, planning and zoning, building inspections, enforcement, social equity, fee development, economic analysis and revenue projections.

Prior to overseeing the OCO, Ajay worked in public finance, serving as Budget Analyst for the Department of Financial Management in the City of Long Beach. Ajay holds a Bachelor's degree in business economics from the University of California, Santa Barbara, and a Master's degree in public policy from the University of Michigan.

Mark Lovelace, Senior Policy Advisor

Mark Lovelace has 16 years of broad experience in public policy, community engagement and advocacy and is recognized as a leader in advancing the statewide discussion of medical and recreational cannabis as a policy issue in California.

Mark served on the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors from 2009 through 2016 where he was instrumental in developing a comprehensive approach to regulating cannabis, including a voter-approved tax on commercial cultivation and an innovative track and trace pilot program. Mark established and co-chaired the Medical Marijuana Working Group for the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) and helped draft CSAC's legislative platform for cannabis issues. Mark pioneered the first regional summit on cannabis issues in 2015 which helped guide the development of SB 643 and AB 243, two components of the Medical Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MCRSA).

Mark has worked extensively with public agencies and statewide associations on cannabis issues, including CSAC, Rural County Representatives of California, the Association of California Water Agencies, the North Coast Resource Partnership, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, the State Water Board, the North Coast Regional Water Board, the Bureau of Cannabis Control, State legislators, and others. He has led numerous presentations, workshops and panel discussions on cannabis issues and has been a sought-after speaker on the topic for government agencies, community organizations and industry groups.

Mark received his Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Design from San Jose State University. Prior to his time on the Board, he worked for many years as a respected advocate on land use, planning, development and environmental issues.

Kami Miller, Senior Compliance Inspector

Kami Miller is a Senior Compliance Inspector at HdL whose primary role is to ensure cannabis compliance and identify the risk assessment in the supply chain process of each permitted business. Prior to joining the firm, she served three years as a Marijuana Compliance Manager for the Department of Public Behavior and Health (DPBH) for the State of Nevada. During this time Kami played a key role in Nevada's implementation of its Medical Marijuana Program in which she was responsible for statewide monitoring of medical marijuana facilities that included cultivation, production, testing labs and retail stores.

During her tenure at the DPBH, Kami managed compliance auditors and support staff in the Las Vegas office. She conducted approximately 1,000 compliance and financial inspections for which she developed the inspection protocols documentation to create comprehensive reports. In addition, her experience with various cannabis track and trace systems allowed her to develop industry supply chain practices for the Department of Taxation.

Kami received her Bachelor of Business Administration in E-Commerce and Supply Chain Management from Tennessee State University.

Michelle Shaw, Compliance Inspector

Michelle is a Cannabis Compliance Inspector at HdL and is tasked with conducting onsite inspections, examinations and other actions to monitor compliance with established standards for local licensed cannabis businesses. Prior to joining HdL, she was a Compliance Specialist Officer at a large, multinational bank where she managed, validated and oversaw the effectiveness and accuracy of numerous compliance issues within the consumer retail space. Throughout her eight years of experience at the bank, she performed onsite assessments of affiliate businesses to determine compliance/non-compliance of their processes and procedures pursuant to bank standards and state regulations.

A graduate of Cypress College, Michelle holds a Foundations of Banking Risk certificate from the Global Association of Risk Professionals and a paralegal certificate from the Southern California College of Business and Law.

Alfredo Marquez, Senior Auditor

Alfredo Marquez is a Senior Auditor at HdL. His primary role is to conduct cannabis tax audits. Alfredo previously worked for a publicly traded corporation as a Senior Internal Auditor where he performed risk assessments of new business acquisitions, financial and Sarbanes & Oxley audits. He also conducted compliance audits in the areas of commercial and government contracts, export and import activities, and general information technology controls. In these roles he worked with employees at various levels within the organization across North America, Latin America, Europe, and Asia in order to meet organizational objectives. Alfredo has recently done work for the Cities of Cotati, Cloverdale, Desert Hot Springs, Perris, Port Hueneme, and Vallejo. He earned his Bachelor's degree in Accounting from the University of La Verne.

Elizabeth Eumurian, Senior Auditor

Elizabeth Eumurian is a Senior Auditor at HdL. Her primary role is to conduct financial audits, evaluate cannabis applications and conduct background checks. As part of the audit program, she will be conducting and preparing analytical information through the CATS™ program to prepare Tax Analytical Remittance Reports (TARR) summaries to evaluate under reporting or anomalies in the remittance of tax payments to local jurisdictions.

Elizabeth previously worked as a senior auditor in the entertainment industry. In this role, she executed testing procedures for targeted audit programs, analyzed findings and prepared audit and compliance reports. She also has experience working for a large financial institution analyzing data for reporting anomalies and performing internal audits. Elizabeth has recently done work for Blythe, California City, Coachella, Cotati, Desert Hot Springs, Long Beach, Mammoth Lakes, Moreno Valley, Perris, San Bernardino, and Vallejo.

Elizabeth earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in History from California State University and holds a certificate in CannaBusiness from Oaksterdam University.

Odette Mikhail, Auditor

Odette Mikhail is an Auditor at HdL. Her primary role is to conduct financial audits. Odette previously worked as a senior auditor at public accounting firms. In this role, she executed testing procedures for audit and review engagements, identified accounting issues, reviewed internal controls, and prepared financial reports and statements. Odette earned her Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and Business Administration from Ain Shams University in Cairo, Egypt.

Tao Lu, Auditor

Tao Lu works as an Auditor for HdL's Cannabis Management Team. Tao has two and a half years' experience as an accountant with an emphasis in information technology and food manufacturing industries. He also has public audit work experience at RSM China.

Tao was born and raised in China. He earned a Bachelor's Degree in Accounting and Finance from Syracuse University in New York before relocating to Southern California with his family.

Mark Brogan, Auditor

Mark Brogan works as an Auditor for HdL's Cannabis Management Team. Mark previously worked for the City of San Jose as a Financial Analyst and later as a Supervisor in the Revenue Management Division of the City's Department of Finance. Mark also worked in the City's Housing Department prior to his time with the Department of Finance.

Mark holds a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration from San Jose State University, with a minor in Economics. Mark is also an accomplished triathlete and has successfully completed numerous marathons and Iron Man competitions.

V. REFERENCES

City of Irvine

Lt. Dave Klug

Irvine Police Department

Phone: 949.724.7125

Email: dklug@cityofirvine.org

City of La Habra

Carlos Jaramillo

Deputy Director of Community Development

Phone: 562-383-4100

Email: CJaramillo@lahabracal.gov

City of Maywood

Jennifer Vasquez

City Manager

Phone: 323.562.5721

Email: jennifer.vasquez@cityofmaywood.org

City of Moreno Valley

Marshall Eyerman

Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 951.413.3000

Email: marshalle@moval.org

City of Port Hueneme

Tony Stewart


Director of Community Development

Phone: 805.986.6520

Email: tstewart@cityofporthueneme.org

EXHIBIT B

CITY COUNCIL POLICY 100-5



CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

COUNCIL POLICY

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE	100-5	8-8-89	1 of 3

BACKGROUND

Under the Federal Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, passed as part of omnibus drug legislation enacted November 18, 1988, contractors and grantees of Federal funds must certify that they will provide drug-free workplaces. At the present time, the City of Costa Mesa, as a sub-grantee of Federal funds under a variety of programs, is required to abide by this Act. The City Council has expressed its support of the national effort to eradicate drug abuse through the creation of a Substance Abuse Committee, institution of a City-wide D.A.R.E. program in all local schools and other activities in support of a drug-free community. This policy is intended to extend that effort to contractors and grantees of the City of Costa Mesa in the elimination of dangerous drugs in the workplace.

PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this Policy to:

1. Clearly state the City of Costa Mesa's commitment to a drug-free society.
2. Set forth guidelines to ensure that public, private, and nonprofit organizations receiving funds from the City of Costa Mesa share the commitment to a drug-free workplace.

POLICY

The City Manager, under direction by the City Council, shall take the necessary steps to see that the following provisions are included in all contracts and agreements entered into by the City of Costa Mesa involving the disbursement of funds.

1. Contractor or Sub-grantee hereby certifies that it will provide a drug-free workplace by:
 - A. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in Contractor's and/or sub-grantee's workplace, specifically the job site or location included in this contract, and specifying the actions that will be taken against the employees for violation of such prohibition;
 - B. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE	100-5	8-8-89	2 of 3

1. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 2. Contractor's and/or sub-grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 3. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation and employee assistance programs; and
 4. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- C. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the contract be given a copy of the statement required by subparagraph A;
- D. Notifying the employee in the statement required by subparagraph 1 A that, as a condition of employment under the contract, the employee will:
1. Abide by the terms of the statement; and
 2. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than five (5) days after such conviction;
- E. Notifying the City of Costa Mesa within ten (10) days after receiving notice under subparagraph 1 D 2 from an employee or otherwise receiving the actual notice of such conviction;
- F. Taking one of the following actions within thirty (30) days of receiving notice under subparagraph 1 D 2 with respect to an employee who is so convicted:
1. Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 2. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health agency, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

SUBJECT	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE	100-5	8-8-89	3 of 3

- G. Making a good faith effort to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of subparagraphs 1 A through 1 F, inclusive.
2. Contractor and/or sub-grantee shall be deemed to be in violation of this Policy if the City of Costa Mesa determines that:
 - a. Contractor and/or sub-grantee has made a false certification under paragraph 1 above;
 - b. Contractor and/or sub-grantee has violated the certification by failing to carry out the requirements of subparagraphs 1 A through 1 G above;
 - c. Such number of employees of Contractor and/or sub-grantee have been convicted of violations of criminal drug statutes for violations occurring in the workplace as to indicate that the contractor and/or sub-grantee has failed to make a good faith effort to provide a drug-free workplace.
 3. Should any contractor and/or sub-grantee be deemed to be in violation of this Policy pursuant to the provisions of 2 A, B, and C, a suspension, termination or debarment proceeding subject to applicable Federal, State, and local laws shall be conducted. Upon issuance of any final decision under this section requiring debarment of a contractor and/or sub-grantee, the contractor and/or sub-grantee shall be ineligible for award of any contract, agreement or grant from the City of Costa Mesa for a period specified in the decision, not to exceed five (5) years. Upon issuance of any final decision recommending against debarment of the contractor and/or sub-grantee, the contractor and/or sub-grantee shall be eligible for compensation as provided by law.